

PATENT NO. PATENT DATE 5,267,959

Michael R. Forman

12-7-93

PATENTEE



## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

MAILING DATE

APR 28 1994

Frederick W. Neibuhr Haugen and Nikolai 820 International Centre 900 Second Ave. South Minneapolis, MN 55402-3325

## NOTIFICATION OF APPROVAL IN-PART OF CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

The Certificate of Correction requested in the patent identified above has been APPROVED with the exceptions indicated below. The remaining errors will be corrected as requested. The Certificate, so modified, will be issued on
THE CHANGES BELOW CANNOT BE INCLUDED IN THE CERTIFICATE SINCE THE REQUEST WAS FILED UNDER RULE 322.
1. Column, line, is printed in accordance with the record.
(a) The change referred to was initialed and dated by applicant before execution of the application papers.
2. In column, line, the error resulted from applicant's failure to comply with Rule 121(a), in that the precise point of entry of the amendment was omitted.
In column, line, the alleged error is due to applicant's failure to comply with Rule 121(b), wherein provision is made for use of <u>brackets</u> , instead of parentheses, to cancel subject matter and for the use of <u>interlineations</u> to indicate new subject matter.
Omission of the priority data from the patent resulted from applicant's failure to fully comply with 35 U.S.C. 119, in that:
(a) The priority data was omitted from the oath, or declaration.
(b) The claim for priority was not included in the application papers.
(c) The certified copy of the foreign application was not filed.
5. The assignment data is printed in the patent in accordance with PTO76-13b, submitted by applicant at time of payment of the base issue fee, as follows:
-
6. In column, line, the error arose because Rule 52(b) was not complied with. Consequently, words on top of certain pages were obliterated where those pages were placed in the file jacket, causing the Office to provide what appeared to be the proper words.
THE REQUEST HAS BEEN CHANGED AS SHOWN BELOW TO COMPLY WITH THE RECORD:
Since it is not normally the practice of the Office to reprint figures of the drawings, the following narrative description of Fig(s) as suggested by the examiner, will be included:

_		
2.	The error complained of in column, line, occurred in column, line where the changes will be made.	
3.	The assignment will be shown in accordance with the Assignment Division records which read as follows:	
4.	The change requested in has been modified by	
X THE F	DLLOWING CORRECTION(S) CANNOT BE INCLUDED IN THE CERTIFICATE FOR THE REASONS GIVEN BELOW:	
1.	The word, purported to be in column, line, cannot be found in the printed patent.	е
2.	The alleged error in column, line, is an editing change made in accordance with the style of the Invention Patent Manual.	
3.	In column, line, the alleged error is in fact a change made by the examiner and consider the in accordance with the permissible amendments enumerated in M.P.E.P. 1302.04.	ered 1
4.	In the title, it is the practice to exclude words such as "Improvements in", "New", etc., from the printed patent.	
5. X	Comparison of the patent in column $11$ , line $25$ , with the corresponding location in the applic file reveals that there is no discrepancy.	ation
6.	The records of the Assignment Division indicate that the patent has been printed in accordance with the assignment records at the time of issuance.	nt
7.	The numbering of the claims in the printed patent is in accordance with the renumbering of dependent claims by the examiner as described in M.P.E.P. 608.01(n).	9
8.	The alleged error in column, line, is a change made in an Examiner's Amendment at time allowance. Since no error is involved and since applicant filed no objection prior to payment of the base issue feet the requested change will not be included in the Certificate.	ne of e,
9.	The error complained of in column, line cannot be corrected since the Group Director reports the following:	
	•	•
ОТН	R	
_		
/		

This decision is rendered pursuant to authority delegated by the Solicitor under authority delegated to him by the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.